

Application of Driverless Electric Automated Shuttles for Public Transport in Villages: the case of Appelscha

Reanne Boersma¹, Bart van Arem², Frank Rieck³

¹ Delft University of Technology, Stevinweg 1, 2628 CN Delft (NL) and Rotterdam University of Applied Sciences, RDM-kade 59, 3089 JR Rotterdam (NL) a.m.boersma@tudelft.nl

² Delft University of Technology, Stevinweg 1, 2628 CN Delft (NL), B.vanArem@tudelft.nl

³ Rotterdam University of Applied Sciences, RDM-kade 59, 3089 JR Rotterdam (NL), f.g.riec@hr.nl

Executive Summary

This paper reports about the application of electric automated vehicles in the city of Appelscha, The Netherlands. Appelscha is challenged with a predicted decline of inhabitants and an already shrinking public transport network. To preserve the regions accessibility, the municipality started a pilot with electric automated vehicles. These vehicles drove on a separate cycle lane for six weeks in 2016. This pilot has shown that a pilot is possible with little infrastructural changes. Even though the maximum speed of 15 km/h might suggest that automated vehicles are suitable to share the road with cyclists, the cycle lane in Appelscha was not sufficient due to the width of the cycle lane. No accidents occurred during the pilot.

Keywords: Automated, autonomous, demonstration, EV (electric Vehicle), public transport

1 The case

1.1 Background of the case

Appelscha is a village in the region of Ooststellingwerf, Friesland NL. Appelscha is part of the municipality of Ooststellingwerf and has approximately 5,000 inhabitants. Almost 25% of these inhabitants are over 65 years old. One of the future challenges for the municipality of Ooststellingwerf is the predicted decline of inhabitants [2]. Amenities are under pressure and only available in the bigger villages. The decline of inhabitants will make the regular bus line, which is barely viable now, no longer viable. The public transport network is already shrinking in this area due to the lack of users. In order to preserve good accessibility in the region, especially for the disabled and elderly, the municipality looked into the possibilities of automated transport. This type of transport can be cheaper because there is no need for a driver and this type of transport can offer 'on demand' service. The sustainability of the automated vehicles, which are electrical, coincides with the goal of zero emission by 2030 as set by the municipality of Ooststellingwerf.



Figure 1: Appelscha [1]

1.2 Goal of the pilot

A pilot with an automated electrical vehicle was conducted to find out if this type of vehicle could be the future mode of transportation without any infrastructural changes in rural regions of the Netherlands. Also, the pilot was conducted to gather some insights in how people in and outside the vehicle responded towards the vehicle. The municipality started the pilot with the following research questions:

- What are the legal challenges in regards to setting up a pilot with an automated vehicle?
- What technical aspects need to be taken care of before starting a pilot or demonstration?
- What part of the road is most suitable to facilitate such vehicle?
- How can the vehicle be integrated in its surroundings?

Also, the municipality was interested in exploring different types of employment in regards to automated vehicles. The motto of the municipality was ‘learning by doing’ [3].

1.3 The vehicle

The automated electric vehicle that was used for this case was a prototype of the EZ10 from Easymile. This is a SAE-level 4 vehicle, because it is able to drive automated on certain routes, which have to be mapped in the vehicle. The vehicle is not (yet) able to drive on any road at any time. The municipality rented two vehicles for the duration of the pilot starting from the 13th of September up until the 31st of October. The supplier Easymile made sure the vehicles met the requirement set by the Dutch Vehicle Approval Authority known as RDW. Some of the adjustments Easymile made were to make sure the doors of the vehicle could always be opened while standing still, but not while driving. Also, according to the law, which regulates the requirements vehicles have to meet, the exterior of the vehicle cannot contain any sharp edges or other edges, which might cause severe injury when involved in an accident [4]. Figure 2 shows the vehicle that has been used for the pilot in Appelscha.



Figure 2: The vehicle [5]

The Easymile EZ10 vehicle is capable of transporting up to twelve people. The vehicle has six seats and six standing positions. During this pilot the vehicle was allowed to transport six sitting passengers due to safety reasons. The vehicle does not have a steering wheel and can only be manually operated by joystick. The maximum speed of the vehicle is 40 km/h. The EZ10 vehicle has a Lithium-ion (LiFeP04) battery which can operate up to fourteen hours [6]. To drive autonomous the vehicle uses several cameras and sensors, a GPS tracking system, a collision detection system and a LIDAR radar.

1.4 Route of the vehicle

The automated electric vehicle was set to drive from the visitor centre of the Forestry Commission (Bezoekerscentrum Staatsbosbeheer) to the roundabout, which gives entry to the village Appelscha. The distance between these destinations was approximately 2.5 kilometres. Easymile, the supplier of the vehicles, conducted a site assessment. The municipality of Ooststellingwerf and Easymile agreed that, in regards to the maximum speed of 15 km/h, the vehicle should drive on the separate cycle track next to the main road. This separate cycle track is mostly used as a recreational route and is part of different cycling routes in the area. The cycle track also accommodates commuter traffic. Other users of the cycle track are students as there is no high school/college/University in Appelscha. The municipality has counted the number of cyclists in June 2016 and concluded there were 350 till 500 cyclists a day on that specific cycle

track. In comparison, a cycle lane in the metropolitan region Rotterdam – The Hague and Schiedam had 2600 cyclist a day in 2014 [7].

To prepare the separate cycle track for the vehicle there were some, relatively small, adjustments. The municipality constructed some overtaking lanes where the vehicles could safely pass each other. Other adjustments were made in regards to warning other road users about the automated vehicles. There were painted signs on the bicycle lane with a picture of the vehicle to warn cyclists that they might cross paths with the vehicle. There were also warning signs next to the cycle lane. Matrix signs were placed at crossing points with the exits of expressway N381. A temporary (yellow) yield line was placed for the vehicles coming from or entering the expressway N381 to give way to the road users on the separate cycle lane. Also, an information board at the start of the route was placed to provide information about the automated vehicle driving in the area. Figure 3 shows a few of these warning signs.



Figure 3: Warning signs [8]

The width of the separate cycle lane was between 2.70 metres and 3.10 metres. The width of the vehicle was 1.99 metres. This means that the space remaining for the cyclists varies from 0.71 metres to 1.11 metres. The average space a cyclist needs to overtake depends on the type of cycle lane, width of the cyclist, the fear of obstacles and the natural swerving of cyclist due to weather conditions, age or speed. The standard width of cyclists is .75 metres and cyclists will need at least .25 metres to pass or overtake other cyclists. In regards to the fear of obstacles, .25 up to .75 metres is needed for cyclists to comfortably cycle past obstacles. The distance to the obstacle depends on the type of obstacle. Cyclists will maintain more distance to a wall than small bushes. Taking all the above in consideration, CROW advises in their guidelines a minimal space of 1.20 metres for cyclists to cycle comfortably (these guidelines from CROW are commonly used in road design and are well accepted in the Netherlands.) [9].

When the vehicle started driving to study the route, the sensors picked up objects on the side of the cycle lane. Garden fences, low branches and high grass made the vehicle slow down or triggered the emergency brake. During the pilot the municipality made sure the grass on both sides of the cycle lane was cut so the vehicle would not detect the grass as an object. Branches from trees and bushes on both sides of the cycle lane were cut back for the same reason. Also, the position of the vehicle on the cycle lane had to be adjusted. Therefore the vehicle maintained 20 cm distance to the side of the cycle lane, the so-called 'virtual space'. This virtual space meant less space for the cyclist to pass or overtake the vehicle. The evaluation report (not publicly available) from RDW and the municipality stated that the cycle lane in this situation was in retrospect not suitable to facilitate both the vehicles and the cyclists. The questionnaires filled in by the users of the vehicle and other road users conclude the same; the vehicle should not be on the cycle lane in this situation. Apart from the non-suitable cycle lane, the idea of using the automated vehicles was positively received by the public. Figure 4 shows the position of the vehicle on the cycle lane.



Figure 4: Position of the vehicle [10]

1.5 Legal

To be able to drive with an automated vehicle on the Dutch roads, a permit from RDW is mandatory. Without a permit from RDW driving is illegal. In this case two permits were given; one permit to drive on the separate cycle lane and one permit to be allowed to transport people in the vehicle. Because this vehicle is a level 4 vehicle, it needs to learn the route. The first permit allows the vehicle to drive and explore the route. In order to transport people in the vehicle, RDW has to make sure that the vehicle is well adjusted to its route. The aforementioned virtual space slowed the progress towards the second permit. Extra safety precautions were needed to be allowed to transport people. This demanded flexibility, creativity and an analytical way of thinking from the municipality.

The municipality hired traffic controllers and placed extra warning signs to inform cyclists and other road users that they could come in contact with this automated vehicle. They explicitly added the fact that the vehicle will not swerve around cyclists and requested cyclists to keep one metre distant from the vehicle while passing or overtaking it. The municipality had noticed that cyclists found it sometimes confusing when they approached the vehicle if it was going to make space for the cyclists, which it didn't. More information about the way the vehicle reacts was spread on local media and the extra warning sign stating that the vehicle will not swerve around cyclists took away some of that confusion. Also the traffic controllers outside the vehicle and the steward inside the vehicle provided information about the vehicle. This increased the goodwill of the inhabitants of Appelscha and the other road users. After providing extra information towards the public, hiring traffic controllers to inform other road users and placing extra warning signs, the municipality received permission to transport people in the vehicle.

1.6 Public response

The contact between the automated vehicles and the cyclists gave some friction. The evaluation report from RDW (not publicly available) reveals that cyclists and other road users find the vehicle unpredictable. They found the vehicle especially unpredictable at the start and the ending of the route, due to the ability of the vehicle to change its driving direction at the end of the track without turning. It was also noted that when the vehicle had to turn, the indicator of the vehicle switched on when the vehicle was already turning. The driving direction and the ability to change driving direction without turning caused some ambiguity with cyclists and other road users.

Due to the speed of (up to) 15 km/h, cyclists found it difficult to determine if they should overtake the vehicle. Especially faster cyclists got frustrated. During the project, it has been noted that communication in regards to the behavior of the vehicle and its maximum speed was important. The RDW and the municipality of Ooststellingwerf have experienced that the use of traffic controllers could contribute in providing information. The steward inside the vehicle also played an important role in providing information to the public.

It was also noted that road users seemed more alert when the matrix boards were in operation. As the project progressed, cyclists seemed more used to the vehicle and knew they had to swerve around the vehicle. The road users on the road next to the separate cycle lane were curious about the vehicle. They slowed down and tried to take pictures and/or movies. Their attention was drawn from the road to the vehicle and caused some delay, but no accidents occurred during the pilot.

During the pilot there was the possibility to fill in a questionnaire. There were two questionnaires available: a road user questionnaire and a questionnaire for the users of the automated vehicle. Some of the results of both questionnaires are mentioned above. The questionnaire for road users has been filled in 20 times and the questionnaire for the users of the vehicle 50 times.

1.7 Conclusion

This pilot has provided insights into the requirements which have to be met to let automated electrical vehicles drive on cycle lanes. The cycle lane in the situation at Appelscha was too narrow to facilitate both vehicles and cyclists and therefore the cyclists had to move to the roadside to overtake the vehicles or to let the vehicles pass. The results from the questionnaire show that other road users and cyclists believe that the vehicles should not have been on the cycle lane, but the results also show that the public does believe in a future for automated electrical vehicles. The municipality had to be flexible and had to deal with sudden problems. The ‘can do’ attitude from the municipality made this pilot happen. No accidents occurred during the pilot.

Acknowledgments

This work reported in this paper was conducted as part of the Project “Spatial and Transport impacts of Automated Driving (STAD)”, as part of the program Smart Urban Regions of the Future (SURF) ran by VerDus on behalf of the Netherlands Science Foundation NWO.

The authors would like to thank Oscar Weehuizen and Arjan Janssens from the municipality of Ooststellingwerf for providing information about the project. Also, the authors would like to thank Pieter van der Stoep from RDW for providing information about the mandatory permits and for giving insights in the collaboration with all the involved parties. Furthermore, the authors would like to thank Frans Hamstra from Drietachtig for providing information about the project and the parties involved.

References

- [1] Search: *Appelscha*, maps.google.com accessed on 2017-02-17
- [2] *Bevolkingskrimp – Krimpgebieden en anticipeergebieden*, <https://www.rijksoverheid.nl/onderwerpen/bevolkingskrimp/inhoud/krimpgebieden-en-anticipeergebieden>, accessed on 2017-02-10 (in Dutch)
- [3] *Pilot zelfrijdend vervoer in Appelscha* [video], <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GwdL38hTmWY>, accessed on 2017-02-10 (in Dutch)
- [4] Article 5.2.48 Regeling voertuigen (in Dutch)
- [5] *Noorden maakt werk van zelfrijdend vervoer*, https://www.provinciegroningen.nl/actueel/nieuws/nieuwsbericht/_nieuws/toon/Item/noorden-maakt-werk-van-zelfrijdend-vervoer/, accessed on 2017-02-10 (in Dutch)
- [6] *Mobility Solutions*, Easymile, <http://easymile.com/mobility-solution/>, accessed on 2017-07-11
- [7] *Concept Uitvoeringsprogramma Fietsen 2016-2020*, Gemeente Schiedam, 2016 (in Dutch)
- [8] *Pilot zelfrijdend voertuig van start in Appelscha*, <http://www.compactmedia.nl/2016/09/17/pilot-zelf-rijdend-voertuig-van-start-in-appelscha/>, accessed on 2017-02-10 (in Dutch)
- [9] *Design manual for bicycle traffic*, CROW – Fietsberaad, 2017
- [10] *Zelfrijdende busjes maken proefritjes in Appelscha*, <https://www.dekrantvanmiddendrenthe.nl/nieuws/regio/459874/zelfrijdende-busjes-maken-proefritjes-in-appelscha.html>, accessed on 2017-02-10 (in Dutch)
- [11] *Spatial and Transport impacts of Automated Driving*, <http://stad.tudelft.nl/wordpress/>, accessed on 2017-02-08

Authors



Reanne Boersma recently graduated Bachelor of Laws at Windesheim University of Applied Sciences and wrote a thesis about the liability for road authorities in regards to self-driving vehicles. Currently she is working as a researcher at the Delft University of Technology and Rotterdam University of Applied Sciences in the STAD project. Within this project she is working on work package 7 'Case studies and demonstrators'.



Frank Rieck is Research Professor Future Mobility at the Research Centre Sustainable Port Cities of the Rotterdam University of Applied Science. Educated as Mechanical Engineer and Industrial Designer. Has a background in various innovation, marketing and management functions in the Automotive Industry. Is currently, responsible for the research & innovation regarding Future Mobility. And is chairman of Dutch-INCERT a national network of knowledge centres regarding eMobility and is representing the Netherlands as vice president of EU organization AVERE.



Prof Dr Bart van Arem is a full professor Transport Modelling at and chair of the department Transport and Planning at the Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geosciences at Delft University of Technology since 2009. His research interest focuses on modelling driving behaviour and traffic flows in Intelligent Transport Systems. He is an active member of the IEEE Intelligent Transport Systems Society and various committees of the Transportation Research Board. He has worked in numerous EU funded projects. He is now working on projects funded by NWO on spatial and transport impacts of automated driving, transport pricing strategies and modelling of multimodal transport networks as well as the Connected Cruise Control funded by the High Tech Automotive Systems programme.